

UNIVERSITY OF DUISBURG-ESSEN

Biological Psychiatry Research Group

¹Clinic for Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Essen; ²Dept. Clinical Psychology, University of Wuppertal; ³Institute for Diagnostical and Interventional Radiology and Neuroradiology, Essen; ⁴Dept. Of Zoology and Neurobiology, Bochum; Germany

Mismatch-Negativity and the effect of stimulus predictability. An fMRI-Study.

Müller BW¹, Lenz M^{1,4}, Langbein K^{1,2}, de Greiff A³, Forsting M³, Hoffmann K-P⁴, Sartory G²

Background

- Mismatch-Negativity (MMN) is a component of auditory event-related potentials (ERP).
- MMN is elicited by infrequent deviant tones in a series of frequent tones: SSSDSSSS.
- MMN reflects automatic auditory change detection.
- MMN-generators in the vicinity of the auditory cortex are well established, while the existence and function of prefrontal generators remains unclear.

Aim: Presuming that temporal cortices subserve early change detection and frontal areas monitor stimulus expectations, we investigated whether a modulation of deviance predictability modulates frontal MMN-sources.

Methods

Nineteen healthy subjects (mean age 26.9 \pm 6,3; 9 male) were scanned with sparse fMRI (Siemens 1.5T, TR 1.75 sec, TE 54ms, FoV 23cm, 64x64 voxel, 18 slices, 5.5mm, 5% distance factor, sparse MRI trigger delay 4s). While watching a silent movie, subjects were presented two vowellike stimuli (80ms duration, SOA 500ms, "i" F0=232Hz, F1=430Hz, F2=2840Hz, "u" F0=232Hz, F1=470Hz, F2=1260Hz, Presentation, Neurobehavioral Systems Inc.). We compared 4 stimulus conditions: standards only (STD), STD with regular predictable deviants (MMNreg, 12%), STD with irregular deviants (MMNirreg, 12%) and a QUIET baseline condition. Each fMRI session consisted of 3 runs of 20 min each (table 1). Random-effects analyses over 54 images per condition were done using SPM2. Activations were analysed using the following contrasts : STD>QUIET, MMNreg>STD, MMNirreg>STD and the direct comparison of MMNirreg>MMNreg.

Results

Compared to the STD>Quiet contrast, in both MMN contrasts the temporal activation shifted to a more posterior position at the temporoparietal junction (BA39 and 40, fig.1). In the MMNreg>STD contrast (fig. 1, green) this activation was right lateralized. Additional activation was found in right frontal BA47, in the left somatosensory cortex (BA2/3) and in the cingulate gyrus (BA23 and 30; fig. 2a). The MMNirreg>STD contrast (fig. 1, blue) was associated with a broader left-sided BA39 and BA 40 activation. Further activations were found in left cingulate gyrus (BA24 and 32; fig. 2b) and left motor (BA4) and premotor (BA6) areas. Left prefrontal activation was found in BA10 and BA47. In the direct comparison of irregular > regular deviance conditions the temporoparietal activations emerged as statistically significant (fig. 3).

Conclusion

Although enhanced prefrontal activation was found in the MMNirreg>STD contrast, in the direct comparison of both MMN conditions we found temporoparietal junction activation to be related to stimulus predictability.

The use of the sparse-imaging method allows the comparison of MMN conditions with simple auditory stimulation. This yielded only a small activation overlap indicating a separation of deviance detection and primary auditory processing.



References: Hall et al. (1999): "Sparse" Temporal Sampling in Auditory (IMRI. Human Brain Mapping 7:213-223; Muller et al. (2002): Cortical Activation to Auditory Mismatch Elicited by Frequency Deviantand Complex Novel Sounds: A PET Study. NeuroImage 17, 231-239; Naitismen et al. (1978): Early selective-attention effect on evoked potential reinterpreted. Acta Psychol (Amst).42(4):313-29. Nebel et al. (2005): Sparse imaging and continuous event-related fMRI in the visual domain: a systematic comparison. Human Brain Mapping 24(2):130-143.





Design:

STD	standards only	SSSSSSSS	SSSSSSSS	SSSSSSSS
MMNreg	standard + deviant regular (12%)	SSSDSSSS	SSSDSSSS	SSSDSSSS
MMNirreg	standard + deviant irregular (12%)	SSDSSSSS	DSSSSSSS	SSSSSSDS
QUIET	silent baseline condition	00000000	00000000	00000000

- 1 session = 3 runs (20 min each)
- 1 run = 8 sequences (4 conditions x 2) 1 sequence = 10 trials
- 1 trial = 3 to 5 x 8 stimuli = 1 volume

control for auditory stimulus effects: standard ${}_{\rm s}{\rm i}^{\rm s}{\rm -like}$ / standard ${}_{\rm s}{\rm u}^{\rm s}{\rm -like}$ sequences and runs randomized first trial in each sequence discarded: 54 Volumes / condition



Figure 1: Results

STD > QUIET MMNreg > STD

BA39 & BA40

MMNirreg > STD



BA10 & BA47 **BA11**

BA10 & BA47



